

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ři	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/028,091		12/21/2001	Robert E. Denman	22171.300	1063	
27683	7590	11/01/2005		EXAMINER		
HAYNES A				HARPER, KEVIN C		
901 MAIN S DALLAS, T				ART UNIT . PAPER NUMBER		
٠, ١٠٠٠ نبديد، ١	11 ,5202	•		2666		

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/028,091	DENMAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin C. Harper	2666	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r liod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON titute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	1 December 2001		
·_ · ·	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers prosecution as to the r	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without the application is a second seco			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	nawn nom consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>6-15 and 17-26</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	aror election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2002 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•	• •	, ,
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTC	D-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been	received in this National S	Stage
application from the International Bur			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-	152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		102)

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 2 is objected to because it lacks a period.
- 2. Claim 5 is objected to because it contains two periods.
- 3. Claims 22 and 24-26 are objected to because they lack antecedent basis for "presence server" and/or "SIP Registrar" and appear to be dependent upon claim 17 instead of claim 16. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 5 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Maggenti et al. (US 2002/0037735).

4. Regarding claims 5 and 16, Maggenti discloses a method for user activation of push-to-talk service in a wireless network (fig. 1) comprising initiating a session with a PTT server wherein a user joins a group (para. 69, last four lines; para. 8, lines three lines), registering a contact for the user (para. 102, lines 3-4) and notifying members of the group of the other members' presence (para. 102, lines 5-10; para. 104, lines 5-7). Further regarding claim 16, the method is implemented by a computer program stored in a computer readable medium (para. 47, lines 3-6; note: software).

Art Unit: 2666

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maggenti et al. (US 2002/0037735) in view of Mattaway et al. (US 6,009,469).

5. Regarding claim 1, Maggenti discloses a wireless communication network having push-to-talk functionality (fig. 1, para. 12). The network comprises a presence server to store data on active mobile devices (fig. 3, item 244), an SIP server (item 236), an SIP location server to store contact addresses of the active mobile devices (item 240; para. 47, lines 6-10; para. 186, lines 1-7), and a PTT server (item 240; para. 47, lines 6-10) to function as a call endpoint for the mobile devices where the devices are segmented into groups (para. 31, last 4; para. 32, lines 5), where the PTT server multicasts a communication from one member of a group to other members of the group (para. 77).

Application/Control Number: 10/028,091 Page 4

Art Unit: 2666

6. However, Maggenti does not disclose separate servers. Mattaway discloses that logical server functions reside on separate servers (figs. 15a-15b, item 1500; col. 21, lines 51-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have separate servers for the functions of the communications manager (fig. 3) in the invention of Maggenti in order to provide a server dedicated to each individual function (Mattaway, col. 21, lines 54-57).

- 7. Further, Maggenti in view of Mattaway does not disclose separate servers interconnected by an IP network. However, Maggenti discloses network components interconnected by an IP network (fig. 1, item 26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have an IP network interconnecting servers in the invention of Maggenti in view of Mattaway in order to provide a well known and widely used communications protocol for the transfer of data (Maggenti, para. 42, lines 9-13).
- 8. Regarding claim 2, in Maggenti the PTT server includes a signaling plane component (fig. 2, item 128) and a media plane component (item 124).
- 9. Regarding claim 3, in Maggenti the network includes a subscriber database (fig. 3, item 232; para. 89).
- 10. Regarding claim 4, in Maggenti the network includes a radio access network (fig. 1, item 28).

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 6-15 and 16-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can

normally be reached weekdays from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Seema S. Rao, can be reached at 571-272-3174. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is

571-273-8300. For non-official communications, the examiner's personal fax number is 571-273-

3166 and the examiner's e-mail address is kevin.harper@uspto.gov.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications associated with a customer number is available through Private PAIR only. For more

information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to

the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

Page 5

October 29, 2005